Document 13

Filed 12/13/2007

Page 1 of 4

Case 3:07-cv-05227-EMC

Ms. Mack exercised supervisory power over Ms. Santos and as an agent of the college. The Defendants have attacked Ms. Santos's First Amended Complaint under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), asserting that the allegations therein fail to state a claim on which relief could be granted,, in making this assertion, Defendants proceed to advance arguments regrading technical deficiencies in Ms. Santos's pleading, but they do not, in any way, deny that the egregious misconduct described in the pleading did not take place. In fact, the allegations in the complaint clearly show that Ms. Santos suffered from acts of discrimination, harassment, and other wrongful conduct at the hands of the Defendants.. The complaint also states facts showing that the Defendants inflicted tangible harm on Ms. Santos by their actions and that she thus has valid and substantial claims against them. Certainly, the allegations meet the standard set forth in Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure of making a short and plain statement showing that the pleader is entitled to relief. As such, the court must not dismiss Ms.Santos's complaint. Fednav Ltd. v. Sterling International, 572 F.Supp 1268(D.C. Cal.(1983)

For example, Defendants argue that Ms. Santos lacks standing to make her claims for violation of California's Fair Employment Housing Act because, as a student, she cannot be an employee. As the complaint shows, however, Ms. Santos was in a contractual relationship with Merritt in what could be considered a "training program" within the ambit of the FEHA and that Ms. Mack's denial of her library privileges had a negative impact on her participation. Similarly, the complaint describes how Ms. Santos was at the mercy of Defendant Mack in the latter's exercise of authority over her, thus putting her in the position of a supervisor for purposes of an employment relationship. Finally, Defendants assert that Ms. Santos's tort and contract claims are barred by the notice provisions of the Tort Claims Act; this ignores the facts set forth in the pleading that Ms. Santos exhausted her administrative remedies both with the Merritt College administration and government agencies, thereby obtaining her "Right to Sue" letter alleged in the complaint. Finally, it has been held that allegations that a an employment contract contained a covenant of good faith and fair dealing and that the employer violated such covenant under

1	state laws were sufficient to state a claim against the employer. King v. Ralston Purina Co., 97		
2	F.R.D. (D.C.N.C. 1983) Here, Defendant do not deny that they inflicted serious harm on Ms.		
3	Santos in the course of her contractual relationship with them; it follows that she has a claim for		
4	relief. Finally, given the detailed description of substantive injury to Ms. Santos arising out of		
5	the events and transactions dealt with in the complaint, Ms. Santos should be allowed and		
6	opportunity to redraft the pleading to state a claim before the court can contemplate dismissal		
7	with prejudice.		
8	Defendants motion must be denied.		
9	DATED:December 12, 2007	LAW OFFICES OF BOMARK-NOEL	
10			
11 12		KJELL C. BOMARK-NOEL, ESQ. Attorney for Plaintiff: Elizabeth Santos	
13		Enzacen cances	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	PLTF'S OPP TO DEFT'S MOTION TO DISMISS		
28	EIASEANICADE IN CALLET S MOTION TO DISMISS EIASEANICADE IN CALLET S MOTION TO DISMISS 3	CASE NO: HG07335828	

1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9	VERIFICATION			
10				
11	I, ELIZABETH SANTOS, am a Plaintiff in the above-entitled action. I have read the			
12	foregoing "FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES" and know the contents			
13	thereof. Under penalty of perjury under the laws of California I affirm the above to be			
14	true and correct, to the best of my belief and recollection.			
15	Executed in San Leandro, County of Alameda, State of California.			
16				
17	DATED:			
18				
19	Elizabeth Santos			
20	Plaintiff			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27	PLTF'S OPP TO DEFT'S MOTION TO DISMISS			
	,			
28	EIRSTEANIONDEID TO SANDA ENVIROR DAMAGES 4 CASE NO: HG07335828	3		